UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 13-CR-005 (LSA)

ANTONIO "TONY" CHAKONAS,

Defendant.

PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

The United States, by its attorneys, James L. Santelle, United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and Assistant United States Attorney Matthew L. Jacobs, requests that, in addition to its standard jury instruction, the Court give the following jury instructions at the criminal trial of the above-captioned case. The United States also requests the right to supplement these instructions as appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of September, 2013.

JAMES L. SANTELLE United States Attorney

By:

s/Matthew L. Jacobs Assistant United States Attorney Matthew L. Jacobs Bar Number: 1017227 Attorney for Plaintiff Office of the United States Attorney Eastern District of Wisconsin 517 East Wisconsin Avenue, Room 530 Milwaukee, WI 53202 Telephone: (414) 297-1700

Fax: (414) 297-1738

E-Mail: Matthew.Jacobs2@usdoj.gov

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 1

The Charge – The Indictment

The charges against the defendant are in a document called an indictment. You will have a

copy of the indictment during your deliberations. The indictment in this case charges that the

defendant committed the crimes of using the U.S. mail and interstate wire communications to carry

out a scheme to defraud (commonly referred to as mail and wire fraud) and transporting in interstate

commerce property that has been stolen, converted or taken by fraud. The defendant has pled not

guilty to the charges.

The indictment is simply the formal way of telling the defendant what crimes he is accused

of committing. It is not evidence that the defendant is guilty. It does not even raise a suspicion of

guilt.

Authority:

Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 1.02.

Given

Refused

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 2

Statement by Defendant

You have heard testimony that the defendant made a statement to FBI Special Agent Brian Due. You must decide whether the defendant actually made the statement and, if so, how much weight to give to the statement. In making these decisions, you should consider all of the evidence,

including the defendant's personal characteristics and circumstances under which the statement may

have been made.

Λ,	uth	orit	T 7.
\rightarrow		()	V /
	uuı	o_{11}	y .

Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 3.09

Given ____

Refused

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 3

Evidence of Other Acts by Defendant

You have heard testimony and other evidence that the defendant committed acts other than

the ones charged in the indictment. Before using this evidence, you must decide whether it is more

likely than not that the defendant did the acts that are not charged in the indictment. If you decide

that he did, then you may consider this evidence to help you decide the defendant's intent,

knowledge, and absence of mistake. You may not consider it for any other purpose. Keep in mind

that the defendant is on trial here for mail fraud, wire fraud, and transporting in interstate commerce

property that has been stolen, converted or taken by fraud, not for the other acts.

A . 1	• •
Λ 11tl	hority.
\boldsymbol{A} UII	hority:

Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 3.11

Given

Refused

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 4

Recorded Conversations/transcripts

You have heard a recorded conversation and seen a video recording. This is proper evidence

that you should consider together with and in the same way you consider the other evidence

You were also given transcripts of the conversations on the recordings to help you follow the

recordings as you listened to them. The recordings are the evidence of what was said and who said

it. The transcripts are not evidence. If you noticed any differences between what you heard in a

conversation and what you read in the transcripts, your understanding of the recording is what

matters. In other words, you must rely on what you heard, not what you read. And if you could not

hear or understand certain parts of a recording, you must ignore the transcripts as far as those parts

are concerned. You may consider a person's actions, facial expressions, and lip movements that you

are able to observe on a video recording to help you determine what was said and who said it.

[I am providing you with the recordings and a device with instructions on its use. It is up to

you to decide whether to listen to a recording during your deliberations. You may, if you wish, rely

on your recollections of what you heard during the trial.]

[If, during your deliberations, you wish to have another opportunity to view a transcript as

you listen to a recording, send a written message to the court security officer, and I will provide you

with the transcript.]

Authority:

Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 3.14

Given

Refused

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 5

Prior Inconsistent Statements – Defendant

You have heard evidence that before the trial, the defendant made statements that may be inconsistent with his testimony here in court. You may consider an inconsistent statement by the defendant made before the trial to help you decide how believable the defendant's testimony was here in court, and also as evidence of the truth of whatever the defendant said in the earlier statement.

Authority:	
Seventh Cir.	Pattern Jury Instructions, § 3.04
Given	
Refused	
Modified	

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 6

Summaries Received in Evidence

Certain summaries and charts were admitted in evidence. You may use those summaries as evidence even though the underlying documents are not here.

[The accuracy of the summaries and charts has been challenged. The underlying evidence has also been admitted so that you may determine whether the summaries are accurate.]

It is up to you to decide how much weight to give to the summaries.

Authority:	
Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions	, § 3.16.
Given	
Refused	
Modified	

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 7

Counts One - Six: Wire and Mail Fraud – Elements

(18 U.S.C. § 1341 and 1343)

Counts One through Six of the indictment charge the defendant with wire fraud and mail

fraud. In order for you to find the defendant guilty of these charges, the government must prove

each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

1. That the defendant knowingly devised or participated in a scheme to defraud, as described

in Counts One through Six; and

2. That the defendant did so with the intent to defraud; and

3. The scheme to defraud involved a materially false or fraudulent pretense, representation,

or promise; and

4. That for the purpose of carrying out the scheme or attempting to do so, the defendant

caused interstate wire communications to take place used or caused the use of the United States

Mails, in the manner charged in the particular count.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that the government has proved each

of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the charge you are considering, then you should

find the defendant guilty of that charge.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all the evidence that the

government has failed to prove any one of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the charge

you are considering, then you should find the defendant not guilty of that charge.

Given	
Refused	
Modified	

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 8

Definition of Scheme to Defraud

A scheme is a plan or course of action formed with the intent to accomplish some purpose.

A scheme to defraud is a scheme that is intended to deceive or cheat another and to obtain money or property or cause the potential loss of money or property to another by means of materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises.

Given				
Refused				
Modified				
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-L A	Eilad 00/06/13	Dana 0 of 22	Document 19

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 9

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 & 1343 Proof of Scheme

In considering whether the government has proven a scheme to defraud, the government must prove that one or more of the false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promise charged in the portion of the indictment describing the scheme be proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The government, however, is not required to prove all of them.

Given	-				
Refused	-				
Modified	d _				
	Casa	2:13-cr-00005-LA	Eilad 00/06/13	Daga 10 of 22	Document 19

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 10

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 & 1343 Definition of Material

A false or fraudulent pretense, representation, or promise is "material" if it is capable of influencing the decision of the person to whom it was addressed.

It is not necessary that the false or fraudulent pretense, representation, promise, omission, or concealment actually have that influence or be relied on by the alleged victim, as long as it is capable of doing so.

Given				
Refused				
Modified	1			
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA	Filed 09/06/13	Page 11 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 11

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 & 1343 Definition of Intent to Defraud

A	a person acts with intent to defraud if he acts knowingly with the intent to deceive or cheat
in order	to cause a gain of money or property to the defendant, or another, or the potential loss of
money of	r property to another.

Given	-				
Refused	-				
Modified	d _				
	Casa	2:13-cr-00005-LA	Eilad 00/06/13	Daga 12 of 22	Document 19

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 12

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 & 1343 Success Not Required

	The mail and wire fraud statute can be viola	ated whether or not there is any loss or damage
to the	e victim of the crime or gain to the defendant.	The government need not prove that the scheme
to de	efraud actually succeeded.	

Given				
Refused				
Modified	1			
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA	Filed 09/06/13	Page 13 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 13

18 U.S.C. § 1343 Wire Communication

Telephor	ne calls,	mobile o	r cellular	telephone	calls,	e-mails,	and 1	text 1	messages	constitut	te
transmission by	means o	of wire con	mmunica	tion.							

Given				
Refused				
Modified	l			
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-L A	Eilad 00/06/13	Daga 1/Lof 22	Document 19

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 14

18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 AND 1343 Use of Mails and Interstate Communication Facility

The government must prove that the United States mails or interstate communication

facilities were used to carry out the scheme, or were incidental to an essential part of the scheme.

In order to use or cause the use of the United States mails or cause interstate wire

communications to take place, the defendant need not actually intend that use to take place. You

must find that the defendant knew this use would actually occur, or that the defendant knew that it

would occur in the ordinary course of business, or that the defendant knew facts from which that use

could reasonably have been foreseen. However, the government does not have to prove that the

defendant knew that the wire communication was of an interstate nature.

The defendant need not actually or personally use the mail or interstate communication

facilities.

Although an item mailed or communicated interstate need not itself contain a fraudulent

representation or promise or a request for money, it must carry out or attempt to carry out the

scheme.

In connection with whether a mailing or wire transmission was made, you may consider

evidence of the habit or the routine practice of an organization.

Each separate use of the mail or interstate communication facilities in furtherance of the

scheme to defraud constitutes a separate offense.

Given ____

Refused ____

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 15

18 U.S.C. § 2314 Transportation of Stolen or Converted Goods or Goods Taken by Fraud – Elements)

Counts Seven, Eight, and Nine of the indictment charges the defendant with transportation of goods stolen, converted, or taken by fraud. In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this charge, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. The defendant transported the items identified in the particular count of the indictment in interstate commerce; and
- 2. The items identified in a particular count of the indictment had a value of at least \$5,000; and
- 3. The items identified in a particular count of the indictment had been stolen, converted, or taken by fraud; and
- 4. At the time the defendant transported the items identified in a particular count of the indictment, he; knew they had been stolen, converted, or taken by fraud.

If you find from your consideration of all the evidence that the government has proved each of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the charge you are considering, then you should find the defendant guilty of that charge.

If, on the other hand, you find from your consideration of all the evidence that the government has failed to prove any one of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the charge you are considering, then you should find the defendant not guilty of that charge.

Given				
Refused				
Modified				
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA	Filed 09/06/13	Page 16 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Interstate Commerce – Definition

The term "interstate commerce" means travel between one state and another state.

Given _____

Refused ____

Modified ____

Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA Filed 09/06/13 Page 17 of 22 Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 17

Date of Crime Charged

The indi	ctment charges that the	offenses were comm	itted "on or about"	certain dates.	The
government mu	st prove that the offense	es happened reasonab	ly close to that date	but is not requ	iired
to prove that the	e alleged offenses happe	ened on that exact dat	e.		

Authorit	<u>y</u> :		
Seventh	Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 4.05		
Given			
Refused			
Modified	·	- 40 (00	
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA Filed 09/06/13	Page 18 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 18

Separate Consideration Separate Consideration – One Defendant Charged with Multiple Crimes

The defendant has been accused of more than one crime. The number of charges is not evidence of guilt and should not influence your decision.

You must consider each charge and the evidence concerning each charge separately. Your decision on one charge, whether it is guilty or not guilty, should not influence your decision on any other charge.

Authorit	<u>√</u> :		
Seventh	Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 4.06	j.	
Given			
Refused			
Modified	l		
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA Filed 0	9/06/13 Page 19 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 19

Knowingly – Definition

A person acts knowingly if he realizes what he is doing and is aware of the nature	of his
conduct, and does not act through ignorance, mistake, or accident. In deciding whether the defe	endant
acted knowingly, you may consider all of the evidence, including what the defendant did or	said.

Authority	<u>v</u> :							
Seventh Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 4.10								
Given								
Refused								
Modified	l							
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA Filed 09/06/13	Page 20 of 22	Document 18					

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 20

Aiding and Abetting/acting Through Another

Any person who knowingly aids, counsels, commands or induces the commission of an offense may be found guilty of that offense if he knowingly participated in the criminal activity and tried to make it succeed.

If a defendant knowingly causes the acts of another, then the defendant is responsible for those acts as though he personally committed them.

Authorit	<u>y</u> :		
Seventh	Cir. Pattern Jury Instructions, § 5.06		
Given			
Refused			
Modified	1		
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA Filed 09/06/13	Page 21 of 22	Document 18

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED INSTRUCTION NO. 21

Punishment

In deciding your verdict, you should not consider the possible punishment for the defendant
who is on trial. If you decide that the government has proved the defendant guilty beyond a
reasonable doubt, then it will be my job to decide on the appropriate punishment.

Authorit	₹:			
Seventh	Cir. Pattern Jury Instruction	ns 4.08		
Given				
Refused				
Modified				
	Case 2:13-cr-00005-LA	Filed 09/06/13	Page 22 of 22	Document 18